

Jad Mills

PARTNER

Litigation
Seattle

jmills@wsgr.com
206-883-2554



FOCUS AREAS

Intellectual Property
Life Sciences
Litigation
Patent Litigation
Patents and Innovations
Post-Grant Review
Supreme Court and
Appellate

EXPERIENCE

Jad A. Mills is a partner in the Seattle office of Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, where his practice focuses on proceedings before the Patent Trial and Appeal Board of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office under the America Invents Act and related appeals to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.

Jad has experience in matters before various federal district courts, the Patent Trial and Appeal Board, the International Trade Commission, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, California Superior Courts, and the California Court of Appeals. He has experience in a wide variety of technology areas, including life sciences, pharmaceuticals, medical devices, and electronic systems.

Jad has provided pro bono legal assistance throughout his career, including successfully representing multiple clients before the Court of Appeals for Veteran's Claims.

Prior to entering private practice, Jad served as a law clerk to the Honorable Raymond W. Gruender of the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit and as an intern for the Honorable F. Dennis Saylor IV of the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts.

CREDENTIALS

Education

- J.D., Harvard Law School
Cum Laude
- B.S., Biochemistry, Brigham Young University
University Honors

Admissions

- State Bar of California
- State Bar of Washington
- U.S. District Court for the Central District of California
- U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California
- U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit
- U.S. Supreme Court
- U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

MATTERS

Select Matters

- *Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc. v. Spruce Biosciences, Inc.** (AIA Review)
- *ModernaTX, Inc. v. Arbutus Biopharma Corp.** (CAFC Appeals)
- *Kiosoft Techs., LLC. v. PayRange Inc.** (AIA Reviews)

- *Progenity, Inc.* v. Natera Inc.* (AIA Reviews)
- *Alphatec Holdings, Inc. v. NuVasive, Inc.**(AIA Reviews, CAFC Appeals)
- *Mylan* v. St. Regis Mohawk Tribe* (AIA Reviews, CAFC Appeal)
- *Luitpold v. Apicore** (AIA Review)
- *NanoCollect Biomedical, Inc.* v. Cytonome/St, LLC* (AIA Reviews)
- *Mylan* v. Icos* (AIA Review)
- *NuVasive, Inc.* v. Acantha* (AIA Review)
- *Mylan* v. Monosol* (AIA Review)
- *In re Matter of: Certain Footwear Products*, ITC No. 337-TA-936 (Skechers*)
- *Mylan* v. Sanofi-Aventis* (AIA Reviews, CAFC Appeals)
- *Apotex Inc*. v. Novartis AG* (AIA Review)
- *Powertech Tech. Inc. v. Tessera, Inc.** (N.D. Cal.)
- *Mylan* v. Sanofi-Aventis* (AIA Reviews, CAFC Appeals)
- *Razer USA LLC* v. Vizio, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.)

*Party Represented

INSIGHTS

Select Publications

- Co-author, "How 'Rocket Dockets' Are Affecting IPR Discretionary Denials," *Law360*, November 24, 2021
- *Patent Lab 601: PTAB Discretionary Denial*, May 2021 CLE Presentation, Seattle, WA
- *Spring 2020 USPTO Trials Update*, May 2020 CLE Presentation, Seattle, WA
- *Standing to Appeal from the PTAB*, May 2019 CLE Presentation, Seattle, WA
- *Notable Developments in Post-Grant Review*, 2018 CLE Presentation, Pittsburgh, PA
- *Patents, the PTAB, and Constitutional Law*, 2018 Berkeley-Stanford Advanced Patent Law Institute, Palo Alto, CA
- Editor and Contributor, *The PTAB Review*
 - November 2021
 - July 2021
 - April 2021
 - October 2020
 - April 2020
 - October 2019
 - May 2019
 - April 2019
 - September 2018
 - June 2018
 - March 2018
- Editor and Contributor, *2020 PTAB Year in Review*
- Editor and Contributor, *2019 PTAB Year in Review*

Select Speaking Engagements

- Moderator, "What is the State of Section 112 Law and Where Is It Headed?" 2023 PTAB Bar Association Annual Conference, Washington, D.C., March 2023