RECEIVED SEP 20 2013

Ned C. Williamson (ISB No. 3131) WILLIAMSON LAW OFFICE, PLLC 115 Second Avenue South Hailey, Idaho 83333 Tel: (208) 788-6688 ned@williamsonlaw.net

	SEP 1 9 2013	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
J	Lord Drage, Clerk District Court Blaine County Internet	

David H. Kramer (admitted *pro hac vice*) Brian M. Willen WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050 Tel: (650) 493-9300 dkramer@wsgr.com

Attorneys for Defendant Twitter, Inc.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, COUNTY OF BLAINE

LEONARD B. BARSHACK, an individual, and ERIN P. SMITH, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

TWITTER, INC., a Delaware corporation, and SUN VALLEY COMPANY, a Wyoming corporation,

Defendants.

CASE NO.: 13-cv-293

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT TWITTER, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

This matter, having come on for hearing on Defendant Twitter, Inc's ("Twitter") Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint pursuant to Rules 12(b)(6) and 12(b)(3) of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Court having considered the written and oral arguments of counsel and good cause appearing,

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Twitter's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED for the reasons stated on the record in open court, and each of Plaintiffs' claims against Twitter is dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure for failure to state a claim. More specifically:

<u>Count 1</u> (Injunctive Relief): There is no viable claim for injunctive relief against Twitter because injunctive relief is a remedy not a cause of action, and there is no viable cause of action upon which to base a request for the remedy of injunctive relief.

<u>Count 2</u> (Breach of Contract): There is no viable claim for breach of contract against Twitter in light of the express language of Twitter's unambiguous Terms of Service.

<u>Count 3</u> (Breach of Good Faith and Fair Dealing): There is no viable claim for breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing against Twitter because the implied covenant cannot be used to imply a contractual obligation that conflicts with the express language of the contract.

IT IS SO ORDERED DATED this $\underline{/3}$ day of September, 2013.

HON. ROBERT J. ÉLGEE District Court Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this $\frac{19}{2}$ day of September, 2013, I caused to be served a true copy of the foregoing document by the method indicated below, and addressed to each of the following:

 U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivered E-Mail 	Erin P. Smith, Esq. P.O. Box 10160 Ketchum, ID 83340-8160
 U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivered E-Mail 	Gary D. Slette, Esq. ROBERTSON & SLETTE, PLLC P.O. Box 1906 Twin Falls, ID 83303-1906
U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivered E-Mail	Chad E. Nydegger, Esq. WORKMAN NYDEGGER 60 E. South Temple, Ste. 1000 Salt Lake City, UT 84111-1011
U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivered E-Mail	David H. Kramer, Esq. WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI 650 Page Mill Rd. Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 U.S. Mail Facsimile Hand Delivered E-Mail 	Ned C. Williamson, Esq. WILLIAMSON LAW OFFICE, PLLC 115 Second Avenue South Hailey, ID 83333-8604

JOLYNN DRAGE CLERK OF THE COURT

By Deputy Clerk

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT TWITTER, INC.'S MOTION TO DISMISS FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT/3